

a second a second second second second second	1998
	39235
CHARLOUTE SHELRY.	
Plaintiff,	
-78-	COMPLAINT FOR LANDER PO
BLYTE & CO., INC., a Corporation,) and J. LAWRENCE PAGEN,	
Defendants.	
	a appendix and the second second
	of defendants and alleges:
POP A PIRST CA	USA OF ACTION
	a, and at all times herein
mentioned was, a corporation organis	A statistic way in the to be
and is, and at all times herein ment	
business in the State of California.	5
ed Blyth & Co., Inc. was engaged in b	usiness in and had an offic
in the County of Los Angeles. Pla	
and on such information and belief a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
J. Lewrence Pagen is a resident of t	he State of How Jorks
IL.	ad at all subsequent times
in pontioned, there was pending in t	「「「「「「」」、「「「」」、「「」」、「「」」、「「」」、「」」、「」、「」、
State of California, in and far the	「きわせ」」」「「「」」」」「「「「」」」」」」」」」」」」「「「「」」」」」」」」
antitled, "Charlotte Shelby, Plainti	A MARKET STATE AND A CONTRACT OF
al, Defendants", being musbered 3552	20 in the civil files of an
Sourt, wherein pleintiff Charlotte 5	belby was the plaintiff the
and defendants, hlyth & Co., Inc., at	nd J. Lawrence Paren. were

enoug the defendants therein. Said action is hereinafter sometimes 1 referred to as the "civil action". On seld date, tewit, July 11, 2 1923, and prior thereto, and since on or about December 9, 1939, 3 there was pending in the said Court an action entitled, "The People of the State of California, Plaintiff, vs. Leslie B. Benry, Lefender . apt", said action being numbered \$0897 in the original files of said 8 Court. Said action is hereinafter sometimes referred to as the 7 "eripical action". Betther plaintiff nor any of the defendants here 8 in was a party to the said criminal action. In the said criminal ۶ action icolie s. Henry, defendant therein, did on or about April 10, 10 1933, enter a plea of guilty to certain counts of an indictment 11 pending against him in the said criminal action. In the said civil 12 1 action the defendants herein named, towit, Blyth & Co., Inc., and 13 J. Lawrence Pagen, pursuant to notice, on or about June 27, 1933, 14 did call and examine said Lealie B. Henry as a witness on behalf 15 of the defendants in the said civil action, including the defend-16 ants herein, by deposition before a Notary Public. Said examination 17 continued from day to day thereafter towit, on June 27, June 28, 18 June 29, June 30, July 1, July 5, July 10, July 11, 1933, and there 19 20 after. 21

TII.

On July 11, 1935, the defendants herein, towit; 22 Blyth & Co., Inc., and J. Lawrence Pagen, through their attorney 23 Milton Schwartz, elicited from the said witness Leslie B. Henry. 24 as part of said deposition, the statements hereinafter in this 25 paragraph set forth. Plaintiff is informed and belie wes, and co 24 such information and belief alleges, that said defendants, and 27 each of them, well knew what the answers of the said witness would 28 be to the questions propounded to him, and well know at the time 29 of the said examination, and before the witness made the state-30 ments hereinefter set forth, what his testimony would be. 31 Sa14

2. Atunte

examination was conducted by the defendants with malicious in-tent and for the purpose of injuring the plaintiff in her mame and reputation, and for the purpose of exposing her to hatred, contempt, ridicule, and obloquy. Sold examination and the いたいで、ふういのでいうとうと testimony elicited thereby were, and each part of the same was, irrelevant to the said civil action and to any issue therein. Said statements so made by said Leslie B. Henry in response to the examination conducted by the defendants as aforesaid, were, and each of them was, made by the said Leslie B. Henry of and con-cerning the plaintiff, maliciously, with ill will toward the plaintiff, and with the intent, design and purpose of injuring the plaintiff in her good name and reputation, and of exposing plaintiff to hatred, contempt, ridicule, and obloguy. Said statements so nade by said Leslie B. Henry of the plaintiff, as aforesaid, were in words and figures as follows:

"A. [By Leslie B. Benry] Some time within a month or two of Mrs. Shelby leaving, I think it was along in March or April, 1926, Mrs. Shelby called me and asked me to come to the house; that a situation had developed that was of a very serious nature, and to please not fail to come.

Q. [By Mr. Schwarts] Did you go?

A. I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

•

8

0

10

11

12

12

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27 26

29

30

32

G. What was your conversation, if you had one?

A. To the best of my recollection, Mrs. Shelby told me that District Attorney Keyes was on his way to New York in connection with the Taylor murder case, and that she was convinced that Mary - She told me that Mary would probably lie about her, and wanted to know what she should do under the circumstances. I asked Mrs. Shelby, had she talked to Mr. Mott about it, and she told me that she had not talked to Mott, and told me that , 'A friend of mine on the Examiner' had given her the information.

Q. A friend of yours?

A. I mean a friend of hers. I told Mrs. Shelby I saw nothing that could be done about it until Mr. Keyes' return. She was in a hystorical state - I cannot describe it. She told me, 'This will kill me.' I told Mrs. Shelby, 'You haven't anything to fear in this. You need feel no sense of guilt.' She said, 'I don't know what Mary will tell him.' ind whether it was just that conversation or a series - I don't know. This thing occupied weeks in there.

Q. You mean you had a number of conversations on the subject?

A. Yes. I had conversation after conversation with Mrs. Shelby about this partioular incident, and it became absolutely critical - well, Mrs. Shelby told ms, 'I can't wait for this thing. I have got to get out, and get out now.' I told Mrs. Shelby that if there was may information got out that Keyes had gone to New York for the purpose of making an investigation of this matter, that for her to make a move at that particular time would be probably to be caught, and get in an absolutely impossible position, so far as the Taylor case and other matters were concerned. The matter got into the newspapers -

4. Do you have another fint the subject of the so-

1	called Taylor murder case at that time?	1
2	• • • • •	1
3	A. Yrs. I know.	1
4		1.1
	MR. SCHMARTL: Did you see articles	
	appearing in the newspapers at that time?	
7		
	A. Idd.	
8		.2
9	MR. SONWARTT: Did you discuss any of these articles with Mrs. Shelby?	10.00
10	any article	1
n	A. On the sprearance of any article that had any new development in that case Krs.	100
12	that had any her development and more to the Shelby would call me and have me come to the neuse to talk with her regarding the new phases	122
13	of it.	1.5
14		100
26	give us your best recollection of the conversa-	1
16	five us your best reconters of talks, when you tions you had in the series of talks, when you say you went out there frequently, when the mat-	1.00
17	ter was under discussion?	R
16	A. The question of the passport, and	1.2
19	the citizenship and everything this. I am	1
20	trying to segregate them. I don to her house	
21	many tires curing the person at was right pear	18.5
22	the time of sailing, in some cross, Mrs. Shalby	1.34
	sould call me and tell me, and such a cuestion, and	1
23	up today and asked me with and her whether she was among other things they asked her whether she had	13.47
24	a crack shot with a retained bra. Sheloy said,	
20	The only revolver that that Mary one time	12
26	tried to get to shoet mea.	
27	Q. Shoot whom?	1
22	i. Mrs. Shelby. She on some of these occasions asked me on all occasions, asked me	1
29	how she was going to stor this situation from the papers, particularly just before Mr. Keyes the papers, particularly just before Mr.	12
Sc		1.5
31	Shalby, you are going to have told be she	150
32	had talked with Mr. Mott, and Ar. Mott had told her the same thing.	自治
4		1.000
	the second se	13
1.0	5	Line

South State of the second state of the second

	A1 4
1	I talked with Mr. Nott, and Mr. Nott said the same thing. "There is not a thing that can
	be done on this until Mr. Leyes is here, and we can talk to him."
3	1.1
4	I said to Mrs. Shelby on one occasion. "Non are perfectly convinced that you can tell a
	connected and witnessed story of what you were deing on that night?' She told me - I can't
	repeat it, but it was emactly the same story she told re minut after the Taylor murder, of what
7	she had done that night. I said, "I can't see why you should continue to werry about it." She
	was just distraught upon each of those ec-
9	casions.
	I said, 'Put faith in your own innocence in the situation.' She said, 'I don't know
10	what that girl will tell Keyes.' I told her that after all there was nothing she could tell
n	Aeyes that must not be subjected to investi- gation and study and search. She said, "All
12	Wary is doing is trying to guin me, in ad-
23	dition to the financial situation." Mrs. Shelby said, "And after all abe may be lying
14	for herself.' I said, 'You don't mean, Mrs. Shelby, that Mary had snything to do with that?'
10	And she said, "She may have been damned fool oncugh to have done it."
16	Incidentally there was a similar remark
11	made after the actual death of Taylor. In try-
18	have just got to stand on your own conscious- ness of your innecence, and the nature of the
19	story that you have told me, and if it will stand up the district attorney will do nothing to you."
	Enen Mr. Leyes returned -
21	Q. Bid you have any further souveres-
22	tion with Mrs. Shelby on the same subject after
-	A. Yos, I did.
	Q. At the same place?
-	
34	A. Isa. G. Will you give us the conversations
7	First, did Bre. Shalby, if you know, reasin is the City during this period of time, or did abs
26	go gut of the City at any time?
29	1. During this period of February until
30	June, 1966, I was going to tell you that I am
51	MR. IMPILION: That is not a complete
32	answer. Trying to place the time, of what?
1	
1	5 A CARLES AND A
	and the second

	THE WITHERS: Ch. I had not spawered the
1	question. No, she did not remain in the City.
8	MR. SCHWARTZ: Do you know where she
3	went?
4	A. Mrs. Shelby told me when Leyes
	was expected here, and that she was not going to stay here and be indicted for the murder of
-1	Tarlor. That she was going to get out them.
•	And I told Krs. Shelby, "If you leave under these circumstances it will be quite possible
7	in my estimation for you to be indicted. If ever
8	there was a time when you should be on the ground. this is it, at this time."
	She told me that to follow that kind of
9	sivice would be just to 'Sit here and not only
10	be indicted but probably find myself confronted
11	with framed testimony." She said, 'I can show legitizate reasons for leaving the City. They
•••	can't indict me on the score of a departure, be-
12	cause if either you or Kr. Mott get any inquiry a you can tell them I am away on business relating
13	to my mother's affairs, my mother's estate.
14	Mrs. Shelby told me she was having absolute-
25	ly no contact with the newspapers, and hid nerself
1	away from any association with anybody.
16	Q. You mean this was in a conversation?
17	A. Yes, and Mrs. Shelby told me, 'I am
18	seing to get out of here, and whatever has to core through you or through Mr. Nott it can be
1	stated that I am out of the Gity in connection
29	with my mother's affairs.' To the best of my knowledge Mrs. Shelby - She told me that she was
30	coing down to Louisians, and that in the small
21	towns there it would not be possible for anybody
	to locate her.
85	Q. Do you know how long she remained away?
23	A. I don't remember whether it was several
34	or three woeks. I empet tell you.
25	Q. Did you see her when she came beak?
24	A. I did.
-	Q. Did you have any serverestions with
27	Q. Did you have suy sepverastions with
25	A. Test
29	「「「「「「「「」」」、「「」」、「「「」」、「」、「」」、「」、「」、「」、「
- St.	Q. On this same subject matter in connect- ion with the death of Taylor?
30	and the should get a should be the should be should be
31	4. Tes.
32	Q. Ou shead and give as your best re-
	collection of any conversitions she had in the
	and the second sec
1	
	and the second

1	period before she left for Burope.
2	A. After I returned there was a constant
3	rehash of this same situation, of spurchension, not knowing what was going to happen, and she told
	me that Wr. Nott assured her that if the matter
4	had not been taken up with the grand jury it was
	not soing to result, in his estimation, in any
8	action at that time. That Keyes was apparently
1	not prepared to go shead with it.
e	
- 1	Mrs. Shelby told me that Mr. Mott told
7	her that Keyes had, I believe, told hir that he
	had insufficient evidence, despite what he had
8	learned in New York, to bring an indictment with-
9	out further investigation.
10	Mrs. Shelby told me, 'I am going to
	denand that the district attorney's office make
11	a statement excherging me in this and call this
	thing off now, or it will surely be reopened
12	again, and it may stop me from going abroad, or
	bring me back when it would be to my greatest
13	enbarrassment." I asked her how she was going to get that kind of a statement. She said, "I
i	have demanded of Nott that he talk with Keyes and
14	get that kind of a statement from hin.' She
	said, "Den't you think I should be exonerated?"
18	And I said, 'Unquestionably. If there is any
	possible way of getting you clear on this thing
16	I would surely leave no stone unturned in order
	to get it. ' She asked me if I knew Leyes,
17	and I told her that I did not. But I told her
18	that I knew a deputy in the district attorney's
j	office, Fitts, and she said, 'Can't you talk to
19	him and see whether he can - You tell Fitts what
	kind of a man I am? - beg pardon, 'what kind of
10	a woman I am, and let him carry that word to
	his superior.' I told her I would do that if
11	Nott was unsuccessful with Leyes.
1	
2	Mrs. Shelby teld me that she felt wery
_	certain that Mr. Nott could get that kind of a
5	statement from Mr. Loyes. I saked her whether
	Mr. Nott had given her that kind of an assur-
4	ance, and she said she was confident that by res-
	son of Mr. Mott's position in the community that he could obtain such a statement.
	The Appro Anierth and a statements
	I told Mrs. Shelby that I could not be-
1	lieve that Mr. Bott had made any such assurance
7	to her, because I could not conceive of the
	district attorney coming out with a public
8	statement, or even a secret signed letter to
	her exconcrating her of a erime, especially in
9	a situation where they evidently were, all at sea,
	where new developments might at some future time
0	make the district stterney appear in an absolute-
	ly impossible position.
1)	
1	I told her that seeing Mr. Fitts was
	just about as purposeless, and that I would be
2	
2	very much surprised if Leyes signed any such
2	very much surprised if keyes signed any such
2	very much surprised if keyes signed any such

statement.

1	statement.	
2	I cannot differentiate those conversa-	
	tions. Mrs. Shelpy told me on more than one	
3	occasion after that, in conversations at her home, that are hed not been able to get any-	
4	thing out of Mott. That Mott had not gotten	
	this statement which he had promised to try	
5	to get for her. And finally, I believe it	
	was within a month of the time she sailed she told me that Noti had told her that Keyes would	
6	not sign such a statement, and she told me,	
2	Without that exonerstion I cannot stay in this	
. 1	country safely. You must speed up everything	
e	for me to get away from here before something happens.'	
91	usboure.	
	That was about the substance of these	
10 (conversations at that time.	
11	Q. Did you have conversations with	
	her in New York on that same subject?	
12	A second a New York, where which	
13	A. That was in New York, when that came up. I am trying to place -	
	cate ups I an orging to prove -	
14 }	MR. LEWINSON: Mr. Schwarts, I don't	
15	understand whether that question has been ans- wered yes or no, whether he had conversations	
	in New York.	
16		
17	MR. SCHWARTZ: He said yes. Did you answer, Yes?	
18	A. Yos.	
19	Q. You say you are trying to place when	
20	and where it occurred?	
21	A. Yes, whether in the express office, or coming eway from the express effice - I don't	
22	remember.	
23	Q. Was there anyone present besides the two of you?	
24	. A. I don't remember whether Barbara	-"0
25	was with me or not. I cannot really place	
	Barbara in that picture. Mrs. Shelby asked	
26	so, did I think that she could be caught be- fore she left on the best, by a warrant from	
27	the district attorney's office in connection	
	with the Taylor murder ease. She said, "I	1
28	sees to have gotten by the Sovernment as far	32
29	as my passport is concerned, but can the dis- trist attorney at Los Angeles pick me up hers	
	in New York on a warrant for my arrest?"	
-201		
21	Q. On a 'warrant for my arrest?'	
	A. Yes. I told her that I did not	
32	think a warrant could be issued against her	

2

しんにいいないとうで

1	
1	unless she had been indicted, or a complaint
	had been filed, and it had been very evident before she left Los Angeles, from informa-
2 1	tion Mr. Nott had given her, and from what Mr.
3	Nott had told me, and that I told her, that
	they were not going to take my chances on indicting Fra. Shelby without better evidence
4	than they had, or they would have done it
5	when they came back from New York. She said, 'They might do it, if it is found out
6	in Los Angeles that I as out of the City with
	this property, because no action can be taken
7	by Mr. O'Melveny against me here. Mr. O'Melveny might use the district sttorney's office to stop
8	me in come way.' I told her I thought that
	was ridiculous, and not to worry herself in the few hours before she was to sail, over a
9	matter of that kind.
10	One of the last things she asked me
11 *	in New York was, 'Can they extradite he in
1	Europe without indicting mer! I told her I was certain they could not. She said, 'Well.
12	I can't get caught off-guard, ' and I told her 1f
13	there was any indictment developed in the case
14	that 1 was certain that Mr. Mott would have early acquaintance with it, and that while he
	would on discovery that she was in Burope be
15	very, very angry over having been left in a somewhat embarrassing position of he as her
16	attorney not having been informed of her de-
17	parture; that I thought Mr. Nott would be the first one to be informed as to anyone that
11	could reach her. telling her of any danger
18	of that nature, and that it would probably be in the newspapers, and certainly there
191	would be speedy anough information to in-
20	form her, so that before the service of a warrant, or any extradition preceedings she
20	would know what her situation was. She told
21	me, 'Well, they will have to find me if you
22	har come.' I told her to forget the indict-
23	ment. That was about the substance of the
1	talk."
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
27	
20 1	
21	
32	
	10

1	n .
2	By the said statements and testimony the said
3	Leslie D. Henry meant and Garged, intended to be understood as
4	meaning and charging, and was understood by all who heard or read
8	his testinony, including the defendants, and such of them, to mean
6	and charge, that plaintiff had committed the erine of murder;
7	that plaintiff desired and planned to flee the jurisdiction of the
8	State of California because she had committed a crime in connection
9	with the death of William Desmond Reylor; that plaintiff had
10	fabricated false ressons for her desire to leave the jurisdiction,
12	and that the true reason was that she had guilty knowledge concern-
13	ing the death of William Deamond Taylor and that she feared that she
14	would be prosecuted for, and convicted of, the murder of the said
15	Taylor; that plaintiff had cause to fear an investigation of
16	the facts surrounding the death of the said Taylor; that plaintiff
17	had information which, if discovered, would lead to her indictment
18	for, and conviction of, surder; that for the purpose of concesling
19	her conduct on the night of the death of William Desmond Taylor.
20	plaintiff fabricated a false story of her activities on said night;
21	that plaintiff had made false statements relative to the death of
22	said Taylor; and that plaintiff had somnitted a srine on the night
23	of the death of said William Persond Taylor. Defendants, and
24	each of them, understood Leslie B. Menry to year and intend, by his
25	statements hereinsbove set forth, each and every charge and mean-
86	ing horsinabove alleged. Fisistiff is informed and believes, and
27	on such information and belief alleges, that defendants, and each .
28	of them, knew that the said statements would be understood by every
29	person who read or heard said statements to mean the charges and
30	meanings hereinsbove alleged.
31	and the second state and a second state of the
32	Said charges and meanings were and are, and each
	of them was and is, wholly false, as the said Lealie B. Henry then

Access 1 Magner

の一日にたいとい

1 Fisintiff is informed and believes, and en and there well knew. 2 such information and belief slleges, that the defendants, and each of them, knew on July 11, 1935, and at the time the said Leslie B. Henry made the statements hereinabove set forth, and at all subsequent times, that said charges and meanings were, and each of the was, wholly false.

VI.

On or about the said 11th day of July, 1933; the 4 statements of Leslie B. Henry hereinabove in paragraph III set 10 forth were transcribed in typewriting upon paper, and legible copies 11 made thereof. On or about the 12th day of July, 1933, defendants, 12 and each of them, published the said statements by causing to be de-13 livered to the Honorable Charles S. Burnell, Judge of the Superior 14 Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Les 15 Angeles, and to Y. K. English, Probation Officer of the County of 26 Los Angeles, one of the said sopies of the transcript of the deposi-17 tion of July 11, 1933, including the statements of said Leslie B. 18 19 Henry hereinshove set forth. At the time of its delivery as sfore-20 said said transcript had not been read, corrected or signed by the vitness, Leslie B. Henry, and was not filed in the said civil actions 21 At the time of the delivery of the said transcript as aferesaid. 22 25 the said deposition had not been completed in this, that the direct 24 examination of the said witness had not been soncluded and no gross-25 examination or opportunity therefor had been had. Defendants, and 28 each of them, acted maliciously in cousing the said statements to 27 be published, in this, that they, and each of them, intended to 28 injure plaintiff in her name and reputation, and to expose her to 29 hatred, contempt, ridicule and oblequy; and, further, said de-30 fendants, and each of them, intended that said transcript should 31 be read by the said Homorable Charles 5. Burnell and F. K. English, 32 and by many other persons, and further intended that said state-

1942 Mar 19 11

3

4

5

6

7

	ments should be printed in newspapers circulated in and stout the
	City and County of Los Angeles. Said statements were thereafter
	and on or about July 12, 1933, read by the said Honorable Charles
	S. Burnell and P. K. English, and on or about July 12, 1955, the
	said statements and charges were printed and published in the
	Los Angeles Times, Los Angeles Examiner, Los Angeles Evening Heral
	and Exress, Illustrated Daily News, and The Record, each being
	a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of Los
	Angoles. On or about said date, towit, July 12, 1933, and there-
	after, the said newspapers containing the said statements and
	charres were, and each of them was, circulated in the City of and
	County of Los Angeles, throughout the United States, and in forei,
	countries. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such in-
	constries. Plaintiff is informed and belieferer and on taining said
	statements and charges had an aggregate circulation of to exceed
	750,000 copies.
	VII. By reason of the said publication of said state-
	ments by the defendants, and each of them, as aferesaid, plaintif
	has been subjected to grievous mental suffering, and has been,
	and is, greatly injured in her good name and reputation, and has
	been brought into public scandal, infany and disgraps, and has
	been held up to public ridicule, hatred and contempt with and and
	people of the County of Los Angeles and of the United States of
	America and foreign countries, to the pleintiff's damage in the
	sun of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00).
	YIIL.
	That the defendants, and each of them, by two
	writings waived the defense of the Statute of Limitations in the
	event that this action was brought on or before beptember 1, 1935.
100 miles	copies of said writings are stinched hereto marked Exhibits "A"
	La La

r 4

1	and "E", and by reference set forth at length horein.
2	POR & SECOND CAUSE OF ADTICK
4	1.
	Plaintiff hereby refers to and reincorporates
	paragraph I of her FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION. and hereby realleges each
7 (and every allecation therein contained as though set out herein at
	length.
8	11.
10	On June 27, 1933, and at all subsequent times here-
11	in montioned, there was pending in the Superior Court of the State of
12	California, in and for the County of Los Anceles, an action entitled,
13	"Charlotte Shelpy, Plaintiff, vs. The Holdin' Company, et al. De-
14	fondants', being numbered 855220 in the civil files of said Court,
15	wherein plaintiff Charlotte Shelby was the plaintiff therein, and
10	defendants, blyth & Co., Inc., and J. Lawrence Paren, were among
17	the defendants therein. Said action is hereinafter sometimes re-
18	ferred to as the "civil action". On said date, towit, June 27.
19	1933, and prior thereto, and since on or about December 9, 1932,
20	there was pending in the said Court an action entitled. "The People
21	of the State of California, Plaintiff, vs. Leslie B. Henry, De-
22	fendant", said action being numbered 50897 in the criminal files
23 -	of said Court. Said action is hereinafter sometimes referred to
24	as the "criminal action". Meither plaintiff nor may of the de-
25	fendants herein was a party to the said criminal action. In the
26	said criminal action Leslie B. Henry, defendant therein, did on
27	or about April 10, 1933, anter a plan of guilty to certain counts
28	of an indictment pending against him in the said orininal action.
89	In the said civil action the defendants herein named, towit. Blyth
30	& Co., Inc., and J. Lourence Pagen, pursuant to notice, on or about
31	June 27, 1933, did call and examine said Lealie B. Henry as a
32	

andon 1 million

「あたた」の書いたので

witness on behalf of the defendants in the said civil action, in 1 cluding the defendants herein, by deposition before a Motary Public. 2 Said examination continued from day to day thereafter, towit, on 3 June 27, June 28, June 29, June 30, July 1, July 10, 1933, and 4 theresfter. 5

ATT SIRE

III.

*

9

10

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

. 15

On June 27, 1933, and on July 10, 1933, the de-7 fendants herein, towit, Blyth & Co., Inc., and J. Lewrence Pagen, 8 through their attorney Milton Schwartz, elicited from the sold witness, Leslie B. Henry, as part of said deposition, the statements 11 and believes, and on such information and belief alleges, that said 12 defendants, and each of them, well know what the answers of the witness would be to the questions propounded to him, and well knew at the time of the said examination, and before the witness made the statements hereinafter set forth, what his testimony would be. Said examination was conducted by the defendants with malicious intent and for the purpose of injuring the plaintiff in her name and reputation, and for the purpose of expasing her to hatred. contempt, ridicale and oblogure Said examination and the testinon elicited thereby were, and each part of the same was, irrulewant to the said civil action and to any issue therein. Said statements so node by said Loslie B. Henry in response to the examination conducted by the defendants, as aforesaid, were, and each of them was, made by the said Leslie B. Henry of and concerning the plaintiff, maliciously, with ill will toward the plaintiff, and with the intent, design and purpose of injuring the plaintiff in her . good name and reputation, and of exposing plaintiff to hatred; eastempt, ridicule and obloguy. Said statements so made by said Leslie B. Henry of the plaintiff on June 27, 1933, as aforesaid, were in words and figures as follows;

	- T Healt T told
1	*A. (By Leelie B. Benry) Mell. I told
	Mrs. Shelby that I did not want to take the money that she was offering me; and she urged
2	it as something that I couldn't refuse on se-
3	ecunt of the youngstor; the was giving it to
4	
5	
•	
7	
8	
9 i	
10 /	
	the second the therein in the second se
11	lier stillude between Mary and herself and hyself, that she felt that I should have it myself, that she felt that I should have it
	and that I couldn't refuse it, particularly
12 :	and that I could the sencerned; and on my
	so far as the child "t take it she showed an
13 .	element of irritation.
14	Q. [By Mr. Schwarts] How did she show
16	the element of irritation?
15	
14	A. Woll, I say 'element of irritation' -
16 1	
17	
• 1	
18	
10	
19	and asked me to deposit that is my bank.
20	
	the second s
21	Q. Now, will you continue, Mr. Henry.
	with your recital of the substance of the conversation, and what was done, if saything.
82	conversation, and the severaliant
	in pursuance to the conversatiant
23	A. Mrs. Shelby wrote out this check
	A SIG AND AN THE PIPEL PALLODEL PRIME WA
24	Los Angeles - m de it to me persenally.
25	
40	Q. Was it signed or was it most
24	
	A. It was signed.
27	
	Q. By wheat
28	
	A. By Charlotte Shelby - and asked me
29	to cash it as my bank and bring har \$9,500
	in each - yetaining the plot as said as the second
30 1	I protested this
	the second and the second and the second sec
31	2. You will have to tell us. if you
	can, substantially what was said.
32	turner a Residence of Residence
	. 16 Yes an all the second s
	the second s

A. Mrs. Shelby teld me that the cancelled check, with my andorsement on it, would be useful to her in making an income tax deduction, and I protested it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

¢

20

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

26

29 30 31

32

Q. Oive us what you said, please.

A. I told Mrs. Shelby that I was afraid that she would find herself seriously challenged on the procedure, because I was receiving a salary from Blyth, Witter & Company; and that I thought the government would challenge the payment to me of \$10,000 when I was presumed only to be a bond man, working with her. And she said that there was no danger of that from her point of view; that she was paying publicity men thousands of dollars + and others in connection with the obtaining of contracts - and various other items that every moving picture star or manager of a moving picture star was expected to pay; and the fact that she had a financial manager at \$10,000 a year wouldn't cause her any trouble so far as any investigation was concerned. She stated furthermore that she was going to take the matter up with Miss Berger, and that if it couldn't be safely used, it wouldn't be used.

And I pointed out to her that my own income was such that to receive and show a \$10,000 return, which she told me she would pay the tax on (such access tax as I had to pay) would. I throught, possibly cause trouble. I also told her that I was afraid that Hiss Barger, of whom she had talked as one of whom she was somewhat afraid of talking about her business, and the like, might say something shout my doing such a thing; and I would be in anything but an envisible position by reason of it. Krs. Shelby teld we that she wanted me to do this see a favor to her, and that if anything developed sut of it, that so far as I was somewide far what resulted from it. I told her that I was not interested in the responsibility for it; and, without being able to go any further so far as the conversation is concerned. I finally agreed to do what she said.

Q. Will you tall us substantially what you said, which was culminsted in the final agreement?

A. I told Mrs. Shalby that I would do it. But I told Lor I did not like to be in the position of taking a check into a Pasadana bank and depositing it in my secount and then drawing out \$5,500 in cash in a lump sun. Mrs. Shelby said, "Sell, then, you give me your sheek for \$6,500 now in exchange for my check for \$10,000;" and I don't resember whether I had a check of

Hickory and a star	and the second	100
and the second	my own or whether one of Mrs. Shelby's checks	
1	which I changed to be drawn on my own bank or what; but I gave her my check for \$9,500.	1
3	Q. Made out to whem?	1.3
4	A. Made out to Charlotte Shelby - I then took her check for \$10,000.	
5	Q. You took it with you that night, you say?	
7	A. I took it with me that night.	1
8	Q. What did you do with the \$10,000 check?	1.12
9	A. I deposited it in my bank in	40%
10	Pasadens; I think it was the National Bank of Pasadena then, or the Pasadena National	1.1.1
11	Bank, at Colorado and Broadway.	1
12	• • • • •	10
13	Q. Now, was there any further con- versation between you and Mrs. Shelby as	10
14	or about the time of the delivery to you of this \$10,000 check as to what it was for or	1.4
15	supposed to be fart	1 18
16	A. Well, Mrs. Shelby told me that she intended using it as a deduction on her in-	
17	some tax; as sompanisation to me, as fiscal agent for her. And when I was protesting, when I was talling her that I did not wish	
19	to accept it, she told me, she said, 'Mell, if this were not my daughter's money that I	
20	an handling - if this were my own funds	
21	I would pay you \$10,000; but this is Mary's money and I can't do more for you than I	
22	have done in the way of a gift to the young- ster." I think that was about all the	
23	conversation.	原語
24	and the set of the set of the set of the	1
25	A. Some time after this conversation on December 13th and before the tax day -	1
26	it would be in - I ean't place the time - in 1921 - before tex day in March of 1921 -	1
27	Bra. Shelby told me	1
25	Q. Are you able to tell us where the conversation was or who were present?	
29 30	A. I believe that this conversation occurred in the bungalow at 701 Now Manpehire, or the bungalow at the Ambassador Motel.	「「「「
31	2. Bunralow!	
32	A. Epogelow at 701 New Hampshire, or	1

100.081

100.00.000

		The state
1	Ambassador Hotel.	1
2	Q. Was there a bungalow there them?	
3	A. It was attached to the main house, 701 New Hampshire.	1000
4	Q. I see; who was present?	
. 5	the bas Shalby and synalf and	
6	A. Again, Ars. Shelby and possibly her mother, Miss Margaret Shelby, and possibly her mother, Mrs. Miles.	-
8	Q. What was this conversation in sub- stance?	1111
,	A. Mrs. Shelby told me that Miss	0.10
)	Berger had refused to use the \$10,000 energy	13
10 1	had told wise Berger that I was permittelds	
11 [myself to be used for the purpose of a de-	2
12	and that she had told Miss Perger that som	
	had paid me a thousand dollars, and that Miss berger had stated that she felt safe and felt	
17	that she could defend a deduction of \$1.000	
14	and he was shally on the basis of my secure	1
15	as fiscal erent for here are income tar return	47
	The Part A has that I had not. and steed of the	10.3
16	include the figure \$1.000 as received from her - Mrs. Shelby - as salary for services as fiscal	
17	agent.	1
18	Q. Rid you do that?	1
19	A. I did.	2007
20		200
21	m. mains m: my I interrupt, se	
22	as to follow this - I want to be sure that I	1
23	studed in his 1920 income tax return an im-	112
24	come - or alleged income - figure of \$1,000	3
25	ing from Charlotte Shelby an floosl egent;	1. A
26	is that correct?	Sec
	A. Tes.	17
27	Said statements so made by said Loolis B. Henry	
28	of the plaintiff so aforesaid on July 10, 1983, were in words	
29	and figures as follows:	「大
30	" Q. [By Mr. Sobwarts] Out from share?	2
31	A. From New York. Well, as I may, in August and September, when Mrs. Shelby same very	1.00
32		1
		19
		3
		_

And the second statements in the second s

「二日の二日の二日の日日の日日

definitely on this point of leaving, I told hrs. Shelby that the moment that she left Los 1 2 Angeles, that I would be just simply a senter of inquiry so far as Mr. Nott and Mr. O'Malveny were concerned; that I would just be in the 3 position of having to lie to them as to what she was doing and where she was going; and that I frankly did not believe that when either 5 Mr. Nott or Mr. O'Melveny discovered what she had actually done - converting property into 6 securities or into each and getting eway with it - I know that they would be oritical of 7 me, with my firm, and that I would be in the position of probably being pinned down by the officers of the firm as to what had happened. if I knew, with krs. Shelby's funds. I told her that I would never tell them, but on the 8 9 other hand, that I was going to be in the posi-tion of being suspected by Mr. O'Helveny and 10 by Mr. Mott of absolute double-dealing so far 11 I told Mrs. Shelby as they were concerned. that I was satisfied that the extent of con-12 sideration that had been given to her at that time - so far as a strict accounting by 13 accountants sheved into Blyth, Mitter & Co's office - had been largely due to the feeling 14 that Mr. O'Melveny had toward me personally; and that my own attitude had been a mask for 15 her situation; and that if she left without some reasonable explanation for it, that these 16 attorneys would really accept, that I was going to be in a very serious situation because 17 of her sotien; but, more important than that, I felt that her own situation was going to be serious because of the cartainty that Nott, in my opinion, would not feel any incentive to 18 110 19 ght where he had been deserted by his alienty and on the other hand that Mr. O'Melveny would 20 probably drive harder than ever for an issue in the case. 21 Mrs. Shelby told me. "This just can't so far as a settlement is concerved; I 't give the securities to Mary: "I won" 22 23 give the securities to Mr. O'Helveny to handle for her; I will not put any securities in 24 any bea trust, where I as going to lo from them for the post of my life. 25 Mrs. Shalby tald me, furthermore, that if settlement did not materialize and 6 shit that 26 settler was prosoculed, the income tax eltustic 27 such, with what we as developing at the tim 842 P0780 that she felt in dam 28 as full that the re investigation would 147 1 at, so far as Mary was a 29 bility so gr that settl stated she ha 20 ra. Shelby told no what s Learned of Massell's activities in the city; she told me that Massell had simply combed the stores domphones for information that wor 31 the stores do 32

1	the Plant of the second s
	be used against her in attempting to maintain
1	be used against her in actended Mary; and the expanse items charged against Mary; and
	the expense items energed to extended to that if Hassell's information extended to
8	that if Massell's information with Pyle press- the Government, particularly with the saw the
3	ing as he was at the time, that she saw the
01	possibilities of criminal prosecution in com-
41	mantion with the tax seven
	listility financially.
5	
6	A. Mrs. Shelby, now that she was planning
7	of her proposed departure for Europe.
8	of her proposed are
•	Q. At that time, before she left, in
	transmil 1926, hid there peen soon and
	about her going to Europe?
10	
	A. Yes. As I say, this conversation
11 .	
	that she would go to connection with Mrs.
35	
	Wiles' estate. and mine and arrange for a would go to Now Orleans and arrange for a
13	standart one told me have a standard to
14	by the name of Mr. Bauman
**	
11	Q. How do you spell it?
16	A. B-s-u-g-s-n, I think.
	a maximum bis first name?
17	
18	A. He was an office, I believe vice-
1	
19	of his Sid, that the had known his as a little
	girl and that and could thin the wanted so
20	ly to assist her in any the to anyone.
21	do. That he would be had an her sind whether
•1	she told me she had not make a York and sail she would go from there to New York and sail
22	she would go from their from New Orleans to from New York, or sail from Cube that would
1	from New York, or said from Cube that would Cubs, and take a vessel from Cube that would
23	But touch in the United States, for Burope.
1	
24	
25	as the income tax people were concerned, her
	as the income tax people were say possible knowledge would Mr. O'Helveny have say possible knowledge
26	of har leaving, and that if she went from Her
27	
	that would be har speadiest outlet from the econtry, and from possible detention or
28	stoppage by Federal officials.
1	stobbells of the second
29	
30	the same source the
20	A. I think it was in the same converse-
31	tion, when I asked what I was to say to O'Melveny or Nott in the event of inquiry.
	OrMelveny or apro to and there are
32	
2	and the second
A CONTRACTOR OF	

Shelby told me that after all, all I 1 Mr.8 + sctually knew was that she was going to 2 to take care of her mother's That is all I had to tell them. ouisima estate. 3 I was estisfied that in due time and that she would be back. 4 She said, "That might be a white lie. Б but after all I cannot stay here and either be wrecked by the court action -6 cannot afford to make the kind of a se tlement I would have to make under any 2 settlement basis, and I cannot stay here and face the Federal income tax people with Hassell reinforcing the government in its inquiry, so if you tell Nott or O'Melveny 8 9 a story of that nature, then if afterwards they discover I have gone - when they do discover I have gone abroad, after all, you can aprear as badly chested as they 10 11 have been. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 26 22 30 31 32

1	π.
2	By the said statements and testimony the said
3	Leslie B. Henry meant and charged, intended to be understood as
4	meaning and charging, and was understood by all who heard or read
5	his testimony, including the defendants, and each of them, to mean
6	and charge, that plaintiff had violated criminal laws of the
7	United States relative to federal income tax; that plaintiff
8	had committed a orime by falsifying her income tax return; that
	plaintiff had persuaded, and conspired with, Lealie B. Herry that
10	Leslie b. Benry violate the criminal laws of the United States
11	relative to federal income taxy that plaintiff and Leslie B.
12	Henry had conspired to violate criminal laws of the United States
13	relative to federal income tax that plaintiff had persuaded
14	lessie 5. Henry to file a false and fraudulent federal income tax
15	return; that plaintiff had ereated a fictitious and false ap-
26	pearance of payment of salary to Leslie B. Henry, for the purpose
17	of defrauding the United States of income tax lawfully due and
18	owing to the United States from the plaintiff; that plaintiff
80	had fabricated expenditures and other disbursements which were
	false and untrue, for the purpose of taking deductions in making
82	federal income tax returns; that plaintiff had committed per-
23	jury by folcoly, frandulently and knowingly making a false income
24	tax return; that plaintiff had eause to fear presention for,
25	and conviction of, violation of the criminal laws of the United
26	States relative to federal income tazy that plaintiff desired to
27	and did, floe the jurisdiction of the United States for the pur-
28	pose of escaping prosecution for, and convision of, violation of
29	the criminal laws of the United States relative to federal income
30	tex; that plaintiff had comes to, and did, four on investiga-
31	tion by officers of the Revenue Department of the United States;
32	that plaintiff had fabricated a false and untrue story as to har
Anna i bayes	
4	

We want the second s

Andrewson - A

ふいえのことの

reasons for leaving the United States; that plaintiff had persueded Lealie B. Henry to domnit a arise; that plaintiff was dishonest, corrupt and depraved; that plaintiff was wicked and guided by no principle other than the desire for money; and that plain tiff was of a low, mean and criminal character, and had used Lealie B. Henry as her tool and agent in the commission of arimes. Defendants, and each of them, understood 4selie B. Henry to mean and intend, by his statements hereinabove set forth, each and every charge and meaning hereinbefore alleged. Fleintiff is informed and believes, and each of them, knew that the said statements would be understood by every person who read or heard said statements to mean the charges and meanings hereinabove alleged.

Said charges and meanings were and are, and each of them is and was, wholly false as the said Loslie B. Henry then and there, at the respective times he made the statements hereinshove set forth, well know. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information and belief alleges, that the defendants, and each of them, know on the respective days and at the times the said Loslie B. Semry made the statements hereinshore set forth, that said charges and meanings were, and each of them was, wholly false.

On or about the said 27th day of June, 1933, the statements of Loslie B. Henry made on that day, as hereinabove in paragraph III set forth, were transcribed in typewriting upon paper, and legible copies made thereof. On or about the 10th day of July, 1935, the statements of Lewlie B. Henry made on that day, as hereinabove in paragraph III set forth, were transcribed in typewriting upon paper, and legible copies made thereof. On

No. VL.

Separat Depar

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

26

17

1.8

19

20

21

22 23

94 25

26

28

. 30

31

1 or about the 28th day of June, 1925, defendants, and each of them, 2 published the statements of Leslie 5. Henry made by him of and 3 concerning plaintiff on or s bout the 27th day of Ame. 1933, by 4 causing to be delivered to the Ecnerable Charles S. Burnell, Judge 5 of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Los Angeles, and to F. K. English, Deputy Probation 7 Officer of the County of Los Angeles, one of the said copies of R the transcript of the deposition of Ame 27, 1933, including the 9 said statements of Leslie B. Henry made of the plaintiff on the 10 said 27th day of June, 1933, as hereinsboye set forth. On or 11 about the 11th day of July, 1933, defendants, and each of them, 12 published the statements of Leslie B. Henry made by him of and 13 concerning plaintiff on or shout the 10th day of July, 1933, by 14 causing to be delivered to the Honorable Charles S. Burnell, Judge 15 of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the 16 County of Los Angeles, and to F. E. English, Deputy Probation OF-17 ficer of the County of Les Angeles, ore of the said copies of the 18 19 transcript of the deposition of July 10, 1938, including the said 20 statements of Leslie B. Henry made of the plaintiff on the said 21 10th day of July, 1933, as hereinabove est forth. At the re spective times of the deliveries of each of said transcripts, polither had been read, corrected or signed by the witness, Leslie Henry, nor had either been filed in the said civil action. the respective times of the deliveries of each of said transcripte as aferecald, the said deposition had not been completed in this, that the direct examination of the said witness had not been som cluded, and no prone-clamination or opportunity therefor had been had. Defendants, and each of them, acted maligiously in esusing the said transcripts, and each of these to be published, in this, that the defendance, and each of them, intended to injure plaintiff

22

23

24

25

24

87

28

29

50

31

1	
	in her name and reputation, and to expose her to hatred, contempt,
	ridicule and obloquy; and, further, said defendants, and each of
	them, intended that said transcripte, and each of them, should be
	read by the said Honorable Charles S. Burnell and F. K. English,
i	and by many other persons, and further intended that said state-
-	ments should to printed in newspapers circulated in and about the
100	City of Los Angeles. Said statements were thereafter read by
	the said Honorable Charles S. Burnell and F. K. English, and on
1	or shout June 28, 1935, the said statements rade by the said Lesite
AND ALL DO	B. Henry of plaintiff on June 27, 1933, as aforesaid, were printed
The local	and published in the Los Angeles Times, Los Angeles Examiner, Los
THANK THE	Angeles Evening Herald and Express, Illustrated Daily News, and
anterna a	The Record, each being a newspaper of general circulation in the
1000	City of and County of Los Angeles. On or about said date, towit,
-	June 28, 1933, and thereafter, the said newspapers containing the
ļ	said statements and charges were, and each of them was, circulated
1	in the City of and County of Los Angeles; throughout the United
l	States, and in forsign countries. Plaintiff is informed and be-
l	lieves, and on such information and belief states, that said news-
ļ	papers on soid date had an aggregate circulation of to exceed
-	750,000 copies. On or about July 11, 1935, the said statements MA
i	by the said Lealie B. Benry of plaintiff on July 10, 1933, as afore
1	were printed and published in the Los Angeles Times, Los Angeles
l	Examiner, Los Angeles Swening Mereld and Express, Ellustrated Daily
ļ	Mers, and the Record, each being a newspaper of general sirculation
1	in the City of and County of Los Angeles. On or about said date,
ł	towit, July 11, 1953, and thereafter, the said newspapers contain-
	ing the said statements and charges were, and each of them was, wir
-	culated in the City of and County of Las Angeles, throughout the
1	United States, and in foreign countries. Plaintiff is informed
	and believes, and on such infernation and belief states, that said
	20

and it has

1	perspapers on said date had an aggregate sirculation of to encoded
2	750,000 copies.
3	VII.
	By respon of the said publication of said state-
5	ments by the defendants, and each of them, as aforesaid, plaintiff
	has been subjected to grievous mental suffering, and has been, and
7	is, greatly injured in her good name and reputation, and has been
	brought into public scandal, infany and disgrace, and has been held
+	up to public ridicule, hatred and contempt with and among people
10	of the County of Los Angeles and of the United States of America
11	and foreign countries, to the resintiff's damage in the sum of
12	One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00).
13	VIII.
16	Plaintiff hereby refers to and reincorporates
15	paragraph VIII of her FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION, and hereby reallages
16	each and every allegation therein contained as though set out at
17	
18	length. WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays judgment against said.
19	defendants, and each of them:
20	Le Co her FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION, in the
21	sum of One Hundred Thomsand Dollars (\$100,000.00)
22	by way of compensatory damages, and in the further
23	sum of One Hundred Fifty Thomasnd Dellars, (\$150,000.00)
94	by way of exemplary or punitive damages.
25	S. On her SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION. in the
26	sum of one Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00)
27	by way of compensatory damages, and in the further
98 29	sum of one Hundred Fifty Thousand Dallars (\$150,000.00)
30	by way of exemplary or punitive damages.
30	3. For costs of this sotion.
32	
1.0	
	27

States . 4. For such other and further relief as to this Court may seem just and proper. ADEPSON & STAPSON By W.C Atterneys for Visintiff 「たんちしていた」のないないで、 13 1 #3 -Star in

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANOMINS) CHARLOTTE SHELBY being by no first duly sworn, de poses and says: That she is the Plaintiff in the above entitled sction; that she has read the foregoing COMPLAINT PCR DAMAGES * FOR LINEL and knows the contents thereof; and that the same is true of her own knowledge, except as to the matters which are there in stated upon her information or belief, and as to those matters that she believes it to be true. rankel Subscribed and eworn to before m this 29th day of August. 1935. of Los Angeles, C. of

BLITH & CO., INC.

215 West Sixth Street

New York Chiesgo Boston San Francisco Los Angeles Bestlis Portland

Los Angeles

Coble Address BLYTHCO

April 23rd, 1935.

Mr. Joseph L. Lewinson, 733 Rowan Building, Los Angeles, Calif.

Dear Sir:

The undersigned, Elyth & Co. Inc., is stvised by its counsel that you have informed them that your client, Mrs. Charlotte Shelby, intends to institute against the undersigned, and possibly other persons, a suit for libel and/or slander based upon the publication or purported publication of certain parts or portions of the deposition of Laslie B. Henry, taken in that certain suit, pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Los Angeles, emtitled, Charlotte Shelby, Flaintiff, ws. Blyth & Co. Inc., et al., Defendants, No. 555,220, and that for certain reasons you have thought it would be advisable to defor the filing of such action for the present but would be prevented from doing so by the running of the statute of limitations, and the undersigned has, by two separate agreements, extended the time for the bringing of this action until the last day of May, 1935, that is to say, has agreed that if the action were brought on or before the lat day of May, 1935, the undersigned would not plead the statute of limitations.

The undersigned understands that you further asked attorneys for the undersigned that the time limit be extended to and including the lat day of September, 1935. The undersigned is willing to agree to this, but sally upon condition that if you bring action at any time hereafter on or before the lat day of September, 1935. my rememble time within which to appears more, and/or plead thereis will be granted to our attorneys up to a period of at least sixty days.

Therefore, this is to sivise you that subject to and dependent upon the conditions stated, so far as the undersigned Blyth & Go. Inc. is concerned, it is willing to and does agree that the statute of limitations as to the said proposed action may be extended to and including the lat may of September, 1935, and that if such action is brought by said Charlotte Shelby against the undersigned

April 23rd, 1936.

on or before the lst day of September. 1935, the undersigned arrees that it will not plead or claim the bar of the statute of limitations. This agreement is conditioned and dependent upon the plaintiff in such action, by and through her counsel, granting to counsel for the undersigned any and all requests made by them for extensions of time in which to appear, nove and/or plead, up to sixty days.

Nothing herein contained is to be deemed or taken as any extension of the time to bring such action beyond the date specified to-wit, the lat day of beptenber, 1955, nor as any admission or concession that Krs. Shelby has such action or any right to institute the sare, nor in any way waiving any defense which the undersigned may have to enter in such proposed setion other than the agreement herein not to plead the statute of limitations if such action be brought on or before the lat day of beptember, 1955, and the waiver of said stitute of limitations, as herein agreed to, is to be understood as applying only to the said action of the kind and character as above described.

Yours truly.

BLYTH & CO. DIC ..

D. T. Babcock Vice Fresident

April 23rd, 1938.

あいためのないのであった

ころろいいでいたのありたいで

Mr. Joseph L. Lewinson, 733 Rowan Building, Los Angeles, Calif.

Dear Sir:

The undersigned, J. Lawrence Pagen, is advised by his counsel that you have informed them that your client, Mrs. Charlotte Shelby, intends to institute ersingt the undersigned, and possibly other persons, a suit for libel and/or slander based upon the publication or purported publication of certain parts or portions of the deposition of Lealie B. Henry, taken in that certain suit, pending in the Superior Court of the State of Galifornia, in and for the County of Los Angeles, entitled, Charlotte Shelby, Plaintiff, vs. Blyth & Co. Inc., et al, De-fendants, No. 355,250, and that for certain reasons you have thought it would be advisable to defer the filing of such action for the present but would be prevented from doing so by the running of the statute of limitations, and the undersigned has, by two separate agreements, extended the time for the bringing of this action until the 1st day of May, 1935, that is to say, has agreed that if the sotion were brought on or before the lat day of May, 1935, the undersigned would not plead the statute of limitations.

The undersigned understands that you further asked attorneys for the undersigned that the time limit be extended to and including the lat day of September, 1935. The undersigned is willing to agree to this, but only upon condition that if you bring action at any time hereafter on or before the lat day of September, 1935, any reasonable time within which to appear, move, and/or plead therein will be granted to his attorneys up to a period of at least sixty days.

Therefore, this is to advise you that subject to and dependent upon the conditions stated, so far as the undersigned. J. Lewrence Pagen. is conberned, he is willing to and does agree that the statute of limitations as to the seld proposed action may be extended to and finalading the lat day of September. 1935, and that if such sotion is brought by said Charlotte Shelby against the undersigned on or before the lat day of beptember, 1935, the undersigned agrees that he will not plead or claim the bar of the statute of limitations.

Exhibit "B"

This agreement is conditioned and dependent upon the plaintiff in such action. by and through her sounsel, granting to counsel for the undersigned any and all requests made by them for extensions of time in which to appear, move. and/or plead, up to sixty days.

Nothing herein contained is to be deemed or taken as any extension of the time to bring such action beyond the date specified, to-wit, the lat day of September, 1935, nor as any admission or concession that Mrs. Shelby has such action or any right to institute the same, nor in any way waiving any defense which the undersigned may have to enter in such proposed action other than the agreement herein not to plead the statute of limitations if such action be brought on or before the lat day of September, 1935, and the waiver of said statute of limitations, as herein agreed to, is to be understood as applying only to the said action of the kind and character as above described.

lin a

Yours truly.

J. Lawrence Pagon